

November 30, 2006

NEPA Modernization (CE) Attn: Associate Director for NEPA Oversight 722 Jackson Place N.W. Washington DC 20503

Comments on Proposed Guidance hgreczmiel@ceq.eop.gov

Council on Environmental Quality
The National Environmental Policy Act

Establishing, Revising, and Using Categorical Exclusions under the National Environmental Policy Act

Fax Number: 202-456-0753

E-mail for electronic submission:

Dear Sir or Madam:

Re:

This letter is being written on behalf of the Western US Lands Committee of the International Association of Geophysical Contractors (IAGC). IAGC is a Houston, Texas based trade association that represents the interests of the service companies that supply virtually all of the worldwide geophysical services and data used in the search for new oil and gas reserves. Our member companies, well into the hundreds in numbers, conduct many of their geophysical surveys on federally administered lands throughout the United States.

I am writing to express my <u>strong opposition</u> to your proposed guidance: Establishing, Revising, and Using Categorical Exclusions under the National Environmental Policy Act.

In the September 19 2006 Federal Register Notice of your proposal you state that the proposed guidance was developed in part to "...eliminate the need for unnecessary paperwork and effort under NEPA for categories of actions that normally do not warrant preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) or environmental assessment (EA)." The quoted portion, as well as the entire stated purpose for guidance, is commendable, and I fully support guidance consistent with the stated purpose.

Unfortunately, most of your proposed guidance will do the opposite, and will certainly have a chilling effect on all agency use of any categorical exclusion (CE) now and in the future.

Your proposed guidance:

- Will require a substantial internal agency processes for development and monitoring their CEs, which will take significant time and resources, and which offer many more opportunities for court action and other administrative roadblocks to be thrown in the way of legitimate actions and activities,
- Mandates that any Federal agency obtain written approval from CEQ prior to their establishment of any CE.
 This is much more than mere guidance; this is a change in regulation. If CEQ chooses not to drop this portion of the guidance entirely, at a minimum you must re-propose these requirements as regulation,
- Directly countermands Congress' Section 390 CEs, which are statutory and beyond the jurisdiction of CEQ
- Would significantly reduce the ability of land managing agencies, most notably the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and Forest Service (FS) to use any of the recent statutory CE contained in Section 390 of the Energy Policy Act,

Phone: 713 957 8080 * Fax: 713 957 0008 * E-mail: <u>iagc@airmail.net</u>, Website <u>www.iagc.org</u>

12/18/2006 Page 2 of 2

• Will cause new delays in the current BLM and FS processing of drilling and seismic permits under Section 390 CEs. In reality, this guidance will likely preclude these CEs from applying in the majority of cases, thus requiring agencies to prepare an EA instead,

- Is in direct conflict with the letter and intent of Executive Order 13212 "Actions to Expedite Energy-Related Projects,"
- Reduce the efficiencies of energy exploration, including both seismic and drilling, to the point where our domestic energy supply, and thus our national security, is seriously jeopardized.

I urge you to withdraw your proposed guidance and either reconsider and entirely rewrite it to be consistent with your stated purpose and with other relevant US laws and Executive Orders, or withdraw it permanently. Our country and citizens should not be burdened by the intrusive and burdensome approach you have proposed for implementing national environmental policy as directed by Congress in the National Environmental Policy Act.

Sincerely,

Respectfully submitted, INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF GEOPHYSICAL CONTRACTORS

Marty Hall, Chairman IAGC Western United States/Alaska Region

Copies: Colorado US Senator Wayne Allard Colorado US Senator Ken Salazar Colorado US Representative Tom Tancredo